UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,905	05/30/2006	Michael Brines	WP03-1 A04-US	2092
	7590 11/24/200 ARMACEUTICALS, II	EXAMINER		
712 KITCHAWAN ROAD			DEBERRY, REGINA M	
OSSINING, NY 10562			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/573,905	BRINES ET AL.
Lammer-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Regina M. DeBerry	1647
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>N/A</u>	
(1) <u>Regina M. DeBerry</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frederick J. Hamble</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 November 2008	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
//Warianne P. Allen/		
Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated to Applicant that two sets of IDS were submitted and scanned into eDAN (29 July 2008). One set comprised 52 pages. The second set comprised 364 pages. The Examiner stated that there only appeared to be 26 pages of true references comprising reference numbers A01-C402 and that there are 372 extra copies of same 26 pages. Applicant confirmed that there should only be 26 pages of references with reference numbers A01-C402 and that somehow the pages had been duplicated. Applicant gave permission for the 372 pages of the IDS be removed from the file; thus leaving the intended 26 pages which comprise reference numbers A01-C402...